

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,773		10/17/2003	Toshihiro Sakawa	2635-186	7749
23117	7590	03/30/2005		EXAMINER	
NIXON &			CYGAN, MICHAEL T		
1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTO	N, VA	22201-4714	2855		
				DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/686,773	SAKAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Cygan	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 N	November 2004.					
· <u> </u>	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-16 and 37-42 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-36 is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 37-42 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 12-16 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 6-11 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	e: a) accepted or b) objected or by objection is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/686,773

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 3-5, 12-14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada (US 6,279,376 B1). Yamada discloses the claimed invention, a gas sensor for use in the exhaust pipe of an automobile, comprising a cylindrical housing, air-fuel ratio laminated sensor element [801], atmospheric side cover, gas side cover composed of nested cup-shaped covers having side inlet holes [25,35] and bottom holes [26,36], where the inlet holes are offset from the sensor element and from the outlet holes, and the bottom walls of the covers form a partition. The holes are within the range of 0.3 to 12 mm²; see column 4 lines 62-63 and column 5 lines 9-11. The gas side cover may be rectangular; see column 13 lines 9-10. See entire document, especially Figures 18A and 21A. The specific distance between sensor element and inlet hole would be an obvious matter of routine experimentation to achieve a desired flow property, as evidenced by Figure 20 of the '376 reference. Mere recognition of latent properties in the prior art

does not render nonobvious an otherwise known invention. In re Wiseman, 596 F.2d 1019, 201 USPQ 658 (CCPA1979).

2. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada (US 6,279,376 B1) in view of Sato (US 6,739,177 B2). Yamada teaches the claimed invention except for the use of the sensor in a surge tank. Sato teaches a similar sensor (Figure 19A) which is placed in a surge tank; see column 12 lines 1-8. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made to use a surge tank as taught by Sato as the receptacle for the sensor taught by Yamada to sense the surge tank gas, since Sato teaches that this provides an advantageous signal representing the oxygen content in the intake gas for control operations.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 37-42 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 6-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 30 November 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The specific distance between sensor element and inlet hole would be a matter of routine experimentation, as evidenced by Figure 20 of the '376 reference. Mere recognition of latent properties in the prior art does not render

Art Unit: 2855

nonobvious an otherwise known invention. In re Wiseman, 596 F.2d 1019, 201 USPQ 658 (CCPA1979). However, this rejection could be overcome by an affidavit evidencing unexpected results.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cygan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2175. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6 M-Th, alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,773 Page 5

Art Unit: 2855

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NAICHAEL CYGAM, PH.D.